

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/520,842	01/10/2005	Jong Cheol Lim	DKC 1755	2772	
75	90 12/13/2005		EXAMINER		
Maria Parrish	Tungol	SZEKELY, PETER A			
Suite 100 211 North Unio	n Street	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Alexandria, VA		1714			
		DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/520,8	342	LIM ET AL.				
		Examine	er	Art Unit				
		Peter Sz	ekely	1714				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication			correspondence addi	ress			
Period fo								
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, reply received by the Office later than three months after ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	LING DATE OF T 17 CFR 1.136(a). In no e cation. ory period will apply and by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION THE COMMUNICATION WHITE THE COMMUNICATION WILLIAM THE COMMUNICATION THE COMMUNICATION TO COMMUNICATION TO COMMUNICATION THE COMMUNICATION TO COMMUNICATION TO COMMUNICATION THE COMMUNICATION TH	ON. timely filed m the mailing date of this com IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)[🔀	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on 10 January 20	05					
·	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for	rosecution as to the r	nerits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte</i> Q	uayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	453 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	n and/or election	requirement.					
Applicat	on Papers							
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by the E	xaminer.						
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)☐ objected to by the	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the	-	= : :	•	* *			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. N	lote the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO)-152.			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	foreign priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have be	en received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority doc	cuments have be	en received in Applica	tion No				
	3. Copies of the certified cop			ved in this National St	tage			
	application from the International	•	` ''					
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action fo	or a list of the cer	tified copies not receiv	ed.				
Attachmen			_					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-	049)	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTC		5) D Notice of Informal	Patent Application (PTO-1	52)			
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/5/05,10/24/05</u> .	•	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/520,842 Page 2

Art Unit: 1714

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification on page 4, lines 25-28 and page 6, lines 15-26 clearly states, "consisting of" when describing the of ingredient (B) and the blend of compounds (D). Broadening the limitations to "comprising" without having antecedent basis is new matter.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: On page 9, line 16; the word "Oligomeric" is misspelled.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

4. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., In re Berg, 140

Application/Control Number: 10/520,842 Page 3

Art Unit: 1714

F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); In re Goodman, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

5. Claims 1-7 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,630,524.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the claims of the patent encompass the claims of the instant application.

Claim Rejections = 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation

under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

- 8. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bayer AG DE 100 61 081 with Eckel et al. 6,914,089 serving as its English translation.
- 9. Eckel et al. disclose polycarbonate, impact modifier and phosphorus compounds in claim 1, blends or phosphates and phosphazenes in claims 4-8, applicants' phosphate ester in claim 6, graft copolymer as impact modifier in claim 11, anti-dripping agent in claim 10, fluorinated polyolefin in claim 18, blending different flame retardants in column 12, lines 35-37 and particle size and density of fluorinated polyolefins in column 12, lines 56-60. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art; at the time the invention was made; to select applicants' flame-retardants from a list of equivalents. Although Eckel et al. do not specify the ratio of flame-retardants in the blend; applicants' range is wide enough to make the selection of the concentrations obvious.
- 10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 a.m.-5:30 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571) 272-1119. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/520,842 Page 5

Art Unit: 1714

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1714

P.S. 12/6/05